2

- at their January 31, 1994 meeting. 1
 - Q. Who wrote on this letter, if you know?

THE WITNESS: What I just repeated? 3 4

MR. RUSSELL: Yes.

- 5 A. That was circled and written by Peter Boyer who was 6 the Town Administrator.
- 7 O. Did you receive this back from Mr. Owen with that 8 handwriting on it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. SKRIP: From whom, Mr. Owen or

11 Mr. Boyer?

MR. RUSSELL: Mr. Boyer. 12

- Q. Did you understand my question to mean Mr. Boyer? 13
- 14 A. Repeat?
- Q. Did you understand my question to mean Mr. Boyer, 15 16
 - that you received this document back from Mr. Boyer?
- 17 Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. On Exhibit 3, Mr. Owen, your name is indicated
- 19 followed by P.E., can you tell me what the P.E.
- 20
- 21 Professional Engineer.
- O. For how long have you had that designation? 22
- A. A long time. I can't recall. 23
- 24 Q. Is there an educational requirement that corresponds

Page 36

4

7

11

- 1 A. A lot of work.
- Q. Returning to Exhibit Number 4, which has been 2
- 3 marked. As you read that today, do you see anything
 - about that letter that you would characterize as
- 5 inaccurate?
- 6 A. No.

(EXHIBIT 5 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)

- 8 Q. Mr. Owen, the court reporter has marked the next
- document as Exhibit Number 5. This is a document 9
- 10 dated February 01, 1994 under the signature William
 - B. Owen, Director. I'd ask you to take a look at
- 12 that and let me know if you're familiar with that
- 13 document?
- A. (Witness perusing document) Yes. 14
- Q. The third paragraph of that letter states, "Please 15
- contact me and I will furnish you any existing 16.
- information you may need to proceed with this 17
- project." And I'd ask you if you recall that you 18
- 19 furnished any information, I'll say, to Mr. Greenberg
- 20 since the letter is addressed to him?
- A. What was the question again? 21
- Q. This letter is addressed to Mr. Greenberg? 22
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And the third paragraph states, "Please contact me 24

Page 35

- to the designation P.E.?
- 2 A. There are requirements to obtain a P.E., which is
- graduation from an accredited school, a college of 3
- the particular engineering branch and four years' 4
- experience following your graduation. And I'm also 5
- registered in four other states other than 6
- 7 Massachusetts.
- Q. As a Professional --8
- 9 A. As a Professional Engineer.
- O. Do you have any other designation? 10
- 11 A. No.

13

- MR. SKRIP: Can we take a two-second break? 12
 - MR. RUSSELL: Absolutely.
- 14 (BRIEF RECESS)
- MR. RUSSELL: Back on. 15
- 16 Q. Mr. Owen, just following up on your recent answers
- about your educational background. Can you tell me 17
- when you completed the educational requirements to 18
- obtain the designation P.E., roughly? 19
- 20
- Q. Have you had any further formal education other than 21
- 22 seminars --
- 23
- Q. -- since then? A lot of work experience? 24

Page 37

- 1 and I will furnish you any existing information you
- may need to proceed with this project." And the 2
- 3 question is, do you recall that you actually provided
- 4 Mr. Greenberg with any information?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And when did you provide Mr. Greenberg with
- 7 information?
- 8 A. I don't know the exact date. It was following the
- 9 date on this letter, though.
- 10 Q. What information did you furnish Mr. Greenberg?
- A. Primarily, the existing -- the plans of the existing 11
- facility that was built in 1967, lists of our 12
- 13 equipment and personnel at the time.
- Q. Anything else? 14
- 15 A. I can't recall.
- 16 Q. Do you think there might have been anything else?
- 17 A. There could have been.
- 18 Q. Like what?
 - MR. BARKER: Objection.
- 20 I don't know.
- Q. And you indicated previously this equipment list 21
 - still exists that you provided Mr. Greenberg?
- 23

19

22

24 Q. Have you seen that recently?

- 1 A. I don't know if I've seen it recently.
- Q. Do you have any idea when the last time you might
- 3 have seen it was?
- 4 A. I can't recall.
- 5 Q. In the last three years?
- 6 A. Three years? It could be.
- 7 Q. Do you know why you would have looked at it in the 8 past three years?
- A. Well, the list was updated for our final project;
 that's probably when I looked at it.
- 11 Q. Do you remember how much updating was necessary?
- A. Well, to provide -- well, to list any new equipment
 we may have purchased.
- 14 O. Do you recall if you identified new equipment?
- 15 A. It wasn't specifically identified as new equipment.
- 16 It was just an equipment and vehicle list.
- 17 Q. Do you recall if you identified any additional
- 18 equipment other than what was on this list that you
- 19 provided Mr. Greenberg?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 O. You don't recall or it didn't?
- 22 A. No, we didn't. I didn't differentiate between the
- 23 two lists.
- Q. Well, did the list change at all? I guess that's my

Page 40

- Mr. Greenberg with any information and you identified
- 2 some information that you provided. Did you provide
- 3 any information regarding this project to anybody
- 4 other than Mr. Greenberg, and I'll limit that to the
- 5 project, meaning preparing preliminary architectural
- 6 plans and all the other items identified on Exhibit
- 7 Number 2?
- 8 A. Prior to the award or after the award?
- 9 Q. At the time that you gave Mr. Greenberg the equipment
- 10 list, the personnel list, did you actually give him
- 11 the existing plans or make them available for him to
- 12 look at?
- 13 A. We made them available.
- 14 Q. At the time that you did all of that, did you provide
- 15 any other information to anybody else about this
- 16 project; that's all I'm getting at?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. So, all the information that the Town provided
- 19 relevant to this project would have been what you
 - gave to Mr. Greenberg that we discussed?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. When I say "this project," I'm talking about
- 23 Exhibit 2, the Request for Proposals and the Scope of
- 24 Services --

Page 39

- 1 question.
- 2 A. Was there a change?
- 3 Q. Did the equipment list change at all?
- 4 A. Yes, it did.
- 5 Q. How did it change?
- 6 A. Well, some added equipment.
- 7 Q. Do you recall generally what equipment was added?
- 8 A. I really don't recall. I know one -- it was
- 9 equipment we had in place that I wanted to put in
- 10 this facility during the winter months, that it's
- 11 currently left outside at the sewer plant.
- 12 Q. That's the only item that you can recall?
- 13 A. Well, that I can recall, yes.
- 14 Q. There was no new equipment purchases that have been
- 15 identified on the list?
- 16 A. There probably was.
- 17 Q. You don't recall what those were?
- 18 A. I don't recall numbers.
- 19 Q. As you look at this document today, do you notice
- 20 anything that's inaccurate about this document?
- 21 A. No.

22

- (EXHIBIT 6 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
- 23 Q. Mr. Owen, in response to -- strike that. I asked you
- 24 with respect to Exhibit Number 5 whether you provided

Page 41

20

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- from the 1993/1994 time frame?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Mr. Owen, the next document that's been marked for
- 5 identification, Exhibit 6. It's entitled, "Defendant
- 6 Town of Falmouth's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set
- 7 of Interrogatories." I'm just going to ask you if
- you recognize this document?
 A. (Witness perusing document) Yes.
- 10 Q. Is that your signature that appears on the last page?
- 11 A. Yes.

- 12 O. I'm going to direct your attention to Response Number
 - 2 and I'm just going to ask you to expand upon this
- 14 answer a little bit. The response says, "Upon
- 15 information and belief, plaintiff met with me to
- 16 Linear the continue of the continue was the fee
- 16 discuss the creation of the preliminary plans for
- 17 renovations to Falmouth's Public Works Maintenance
- 18 Facility. Plaintiff then created those plans for
- 19 renovations to Falmouth Public Works Maintenance
- 20 Facility." I'm going to ask you if you can tell me
- 21 what was discussed during these meetings that you
- 22 reference in Response Number 2?
- 23 A. Well, I met with the plaintiff more than once. I
- 24 can't recall exactly what was discussed, but I

NOVEMBER 30, 2005

Page 42

- related to him my ideas on what I was looking for 1 with this new facility. 2
- Q. And what were those ideas? 3
- A. Added offices -- or not added, new offices, new 4
- lunchroom, more vehicle and equipment storage, an 5
- indoor wash bay, amongst other things. 6
- O. Did you tell him where any of these items should be 7 8 located?
- 9 A. I didn't specifically tell him where they should be 10 located, because the site pretty much dictates that.
- Offices in the front, storage in the back. 11
- Q. Did you discuss that with him? 12
- A. I believe I did. 13
- Q. Before the plans were created? 14
- A. Before? 15
- 16 Q. Before any plans were created?
- 17 A. Well, they were in the process of being created, I would say. 18
- Q. What do you recall about that discussion? 19
- A. I don't understand your question. 20
- Q. Well, you said you recall discussing with him that 21
- the offices should be in the front and the vehicle 22
- storage in the back, what do you recall specifically 23
- 24 about the context in which that discussion took

Page 44

- I think there was an overlap. It's an objection to 1
- the form. So, in other words, I think he said he did 2
- instruct him as to some aspect of the design; and 3
- then your question was, did he instruct him as to 4
- anything else about the design and he said no. 5
- 6 Q. The second sentence of Response Number 2 says,
- 7 "Plaintiff then created those plans for renovations
- to Falmouth's Public Works Maintenance Facility." Do 8
- you recall discussing the plans that were created by 9
- Mr. Greenberg with Mr. Greenberg? 10
- A. Well, he created the plans. That was the end of the 11 12
- 13 Q. Did you have any discussion with him about the
- 14 plans?
- A. Well, yes. We had discussions as they were being 15
- developed. 16
- Q. After they were created? 17
- A. No. No. I don't recall. 18
- Q. Did you discuss with him how you or the Town intended 19
- 20 to use those plans?
- 21 A. No. Q. Do you recall that you discussed the plans with 22
- anybody else at the Town? 23
- A. Well, like I said earlier, they were presented to the 24

Page 43

- place? 1
- 2 A. Well, there were several meetings that I had with
- Mr. Greenberg. 3
- Q. How did that subject come up, the subject of 4
- placement of offices and storage? 5
- A. I don't know how it really came up. It was part of 6
- the project. For him to determine and for me to 7
- instruct him where I wanted these building components 8
- 9

12

19

21

- Q. And so, did you instruct him that the offices should 10
- go in the front --11 A. Absolutely.
- Q. -- and the storage should go in the back? 13
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you give him any instructions about how those 15
- items should be designed? How the office should be 16
- designed or how the vehicle storage should be 17
- 18 designed?
 - MR. BARKER: Objection.
- A. No. I left it up to him. 20
 - MR. RUSSELL: What's the objection?
- 22 MR. BARKER: I think you said something
- 23 about instructed him, and then your question was did
- 24 he instruct him about how they should be designed and

Page 45

6

- 1 Board of Selectmen.
- Q. So, you discussed them in front of the Board of 2
- 3 Selectmen?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Do you recall either before presenting them to the
 - Board of Selectmen or after presenting them to the
- Board of Selectmen, that you discussed them with 7
 - anybody else in the Town?
- 9 A. Well, with my Department heads that would be housed 10 in this facility.
- 11 Q. What do you recall about those conversations?
- A. I can't recall. 12
- Q. Any recollection at all? 13
- A. Other than, you know, we looked at the plans in 14
- general. They saw where their offices were going to 15
- be, added vehicle storage; that was it. 16
- Q. When you presented the plans to the Selectmen, did 17
- 18 you comment on them?
- A. I'm sure I did, but I don't know what comments I made 19
- 20 at the meeting.
- Q. Did you characterize them as favorable or 21
- unfavorable? Were you attempting to have the project 22
- proceed when you presented the plans to the 23
- 24 Selectmen?

NOVEMBER 30, 2005

Page 46

- A. Well, I considered them favorable because they were 1
- presented to the Selectmen. We paid the final bill. 2
- If they were unfavorable, I wouldn't have paid the 3
- 4
- Q. When you presented them to the Selectmen, rather than 5
- me trying to guess what your intent was, could you 6
- tell me what your intent was in presenting them to 7
- the Selectmen? 8
- 9 A. My intent was to let them know the magnitude of the
- project dollarwise, that's what they're mainly 10
- 11
- Q. Did they ask you if you supported the project? 12
- A. I supported the project prior to when the plaintiff 13 developed the plans. 14
- Q. Did they ask you if you supported the project as 15
- reflected on the plans that you had presented, or was 16
- that just assumed because you wouldn't have presented 17
- them unless you wanted the project to proceed 18
- according to the plans? 19
- MR. BARKER: Objection. 20
- A. I made the presentation. I supported the project. 21
- Q. Do you recall when that was, when you presented them 22
- 23 to the Selectmen?
- A. I believe it's in one of the earlier exhibits, 24

Page 48

2

- the offices are. Do you recall any comments about 1
 - the plans as they were shown to the Department heads?
- I can't recall. 3
- Q. Do you recall any discussion about the plans at the 4
- time that they were being shown to the Department 5 6 heads?
- A. I can't recall any. They were shown the plans and 7 8 they reacted favorably just to get a new facility.
- Q. Did anybody comment that some changes might be 9 10 appropriate?
- 11 A. No, I don't think so. I can't recall at the time.
- Q. I understand, it was a number of years ago. I'm just 12
- asking for your best recollection. Do you know of 13
- anybody else at the Town that was provided with the 14
- plans commented on the plans? 15
- I don't believe so. 16 Q. Earlier, I asked you about what information was 17
- provided to Mr. Greenberg in trying to accomplish 18
- this project and whether any information was provided 19
- 20 to anybody other than Mr. Greenberg. I'm fearful
- that I'm falling into the trap of referring to you as 21
- the Town, but really, I'm trying to learn what the 22
- Town did with respect to this project. I know you 23
- 24 were responsible for a lot of that, but I hope that

Page 47

- January '94. 1
- O. Do you believe you had a budget in January of '94? 2
- A. No, no. No budget. 3
- Q. Do you think you had the plans in January of '94? 4
- A. Wait a minute. Well, this was for the award to 5 Greenberg. Repeat your question, please? 6
- 7 Q. You know, I asked you if you discussed the plans
- 8 with anybody other than Mr. Greenberg and you said Department heads and the Selectmen. I'm trying to 9
- narrow down the time period, when this presentation 10
- to the Selectmen took place? 11
- 12 I can't recall.
- Q. Even a year, if you could? 13
- A. I can't recall. 14
- Q. Other than discussing the plans with the Department 15
- heads and the Selectmen, do you recall any other 16
- discussions about the plans, I'll say, until May of 17
- 18
- It may have been discussed with the local paper; 19
- 20 that's news.
- 21 Q. Sure. Any other discussions?
- A. I can't recall. 22
- Q. And your discussions with the Department heads so 23
- far, I think what you said, is you showed them where 24

Page 49

8

- 1 in answering these questions, if I refer to you, but
- you're familiar with information that somebody at the 2
- 3 Town did that might be responsive to my question,
- 4 that you'll keep that in mind and try to make me
- 5 aware of that; is that fair?
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. Thank you.

(EXHIBIT 7 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)

- Q. Mr. Owen, I present to you what's been marked as 9
- Exhibit Number 7 and ask you if you're familiar with 10
- 11 that document.
- A. (Witness perusing document) Yes, I am. It's 12
- 13 addressed to me.
- Q. This is a letter dated August 5, 1994 under the 14
- signature of Noah Greenberg, AIA and addressed to a 15
- Mr. William B. Owen. And I guess my question is, do 16
- you have any specific recollection of having received 17
- 18 that letter?
- A. I don't really have a specific recollection, but I'm 19
- 20 sure I did.
- Q. Do you recall when you took any action in response to 21
- that letter? 22
- 23 I think following this we completed our review.
- Q. The letter indicates that some schematic design 24

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- drawings were delivered on March 15th, 1994. Do you 2 have a recollection of that?
- 3 I don't recall the exact date, but it could be.
- Q. The letter is dated August 5th, 1994. Is there any 4 5 reason why there would be almost a four-month delay in responding to the schematic designs that have been 6 7 presented in March, other than, you know, just being generally busy with other work? 8
 - A. Well, one of the reasons is we were into our construction season, which takes a lot of my time, road work, drainage, sidewalk contracts. In March we're into getting into April, Town meetings.

MR. BARKER: Can I ask you on Exhibit 7 --I don't see the word "schematic." Am I missing something?

MR. RUSSELL: No. I was reading into it. You're right. It just says "preliminary design drawings." I wasn't trying to add anything. Maybe I saw the word "scheme" and that set me off into schematic, but it's not relevant to any of the questions.

- Q. Summer is your busy season; is that construction 22 23 season?
- 24 A. Yes.

Page 52

4

- 1
- O. What is the water maintenance facility? What is it? 2
- A. It's a garage where the Water Department is housed 3
 - and it's separate from this facility. It's on Palmer
- 5 Avenue.
- Q. How would somebody create a document that would 6
- "locate the water maintenance facility here," as your 7
- notes reflect? Is it just a matter of drawing on a 8
- piece of paper and writing "Water Department," or is 9
- 10 there more to it?
- 11 A. No, there's more to it. Primarily, to see if the
- 12 amount of equipment and personnel could fit into the
- site that we had and it was determined it wasn't 13
- 14 feasible.
- Q. Who made that determination? 15
- 16 A. Myself.
- Q. How did you come to that determination? 17
- A. Knowing the amount of equipment they have, the amount 18
- 19 of people. They required more room because they have
- 20 a water meter testing facility at their Palmer Avenue
- 21 site. It's also the site of the Long Pond main
- pumping station, so we'd really be splitting Water 22
- Department personnel and it was decided not to put 23
- 24 them down here.

Page 51

(EXHIBIT 8 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)

- 2 Q. Mr. Owen, I'm showing to you what the court reporter
- has marked as Exhibit Number 8 and I'd ask you to 3
- look at that document and let me know if you 4
- 5 recognize that document.
- A. (Witness perusing document) Yes. 6
- Q. And what do you recognize the document to be? 7
- A. Comments on preliminary plans for the DPW shop dated 8
- August 24th, 1994 written by myself. 9
- O. This is your handwriting? 10
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's safe to assume that there were some 12
- preliminary plans that you reviewed in making these 13
- 14 comments?
- A. Uh-huh. Yes. 15 Q. Did you have any help in reviewing those plans? 16
- A. I would say no.
- 17
- O. Did anybody else review them with you? 18
- 19
- Q. To the extent that you can remember, can you just 20
- explain to me what each of these items mean? The 21
- first one says, "locate water maintenance facility 22
- 23 here," can you tell me what that means?
- 24 A. I was trying to centralize our Water Department at

Page 53

- Q. When did you make that decision? 1
- A. I'm not sure when. Sometime after this date. 2
- Q. Do you think it was shortly after this date? 3
- I don't recall. 4
- 5 Q. The next item says, "Centralize offices," can you 6
 - tell me what that means?
- 7 A. I don't recall what the first plan I had from Noah
 - Greenberg looked like, other than my comment was to
- 9 keep the offices all in one area.
- 10 Q. Do those plans that you were commenting on still
- exist, to your knowledge? These plans that you were 11
- commenting on, do they still exist? 12
- I can't recall. They may, but I'm not sure. 13
- Q. Did you discuss these notes with anybody? 14
- 15 They were discussed with Noah Greenberg.
- Q. And we know that the water maintenance facility 16
- 17 wasn't relocated to be reflected on these plans,
- correct? 18
- 19 A. No. That's correct.
- Q. Were any of these other items on this document 20
- reflected on a revised set of plans? 21
- A. I can't really recall other than the conference room, 22
- 23 I guess, was eliminated. I made a note after that.
- We didn't consider the wood burning boiler. 24

- Q. You did or you didn't? 1
- A. Did not. 2
- Q. Who made that decision? 3
- A. Myself and DEP, the Department of Environmental 4 Protection. 5
- Q. Why were they involved? 6
- A. Well, there was a regulation. If you put a wood 7
- burning boiler in a facility, there are certain times 8
- 9 of the year you cannot use it or you cannot burn. I don't recall the times of the year, but that was the 10 main consideration. 11
- (EXHIBIT 9 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) 12
- Q. The last item on Exhibit 8 says, "Wash bay/steam 13 14 cleaning bay."
- A. Uh-huh. 15
- Q. Can you explain what that means? 16
- A. Well, this was to provide an indoor wash facility for 17 trucks and equipment. And when I said "separate," I 18
- meant a separate area for this wash bay. 19
- O. So, you think it was there and it just needed to be 20
- separated, or it wasn't there and just needed to be 21 22 added in a separate location?
- A. I can't recall if it was there. It might have been 23 there as part of an overall open area.
- 24

Page 56

2

- 1994, that you discussed the items that are reflected 1
 - on what's been marked as Exhibit Number 8?
- 3
- Q. Do you recall that Mr. Greenberg was present at that 4 5 meeting?
- A. Yes. 6
- Q. And you would have received this sometime after that, 7 sometime after August 25th, 1994? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you respond to this document in any way after 10 11 you received it?
- A. I can't recall if I responded in writing or not, or 12
- in -- I'm sure it was discussed at a later date. 13
- O. Do you recall reviewing it when you received it to 14 confirm whether it was accurate? 15
- THE WITNESS: Reviewing the document from 16
- 17 Noah Greenberg?
 - MR. RUSSELL: This document, Number 9.
- 19 A. Yes, I reviewed it.
- O. But you don't remember that you responded to it at 20
- all? 21

18

- 22 A. No.
- O. You don't have any recollection of thinking any of 23
- these items were inaccurate, or incorrect, or 24

Page 55

- O. Mr. Owen, the next document has been marked as
- Exhibit Number 9 and it's a document that's headed, 2
- "Robert Charles Group of 411 Waquoit Highway, 3
- Falmouth, MA 02536." It's dated August 25, 1994 and 4
- I just ask you if you're familiar with that document? 5
- A. (Witness perusing document) Yes. 6
- 7 Q. How are you familiar with it?
- A. Well, I don't understand your question how I am 8
- 9 familiar with it?
- O. Well, have you seen it before? 10
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall the circumstances that you saw this 12 13 document before?
- A. Well, this was a meeting held in my office between 14 the parties listed here. 15 Q. And it's signed by Mr. Greenberg, do you see that?
- 16 17
- Q. Do you have an understanding of what the intent of 18
- this document was, or what the purpose of this 19
- 20 document was?
- A. Well, these are items we discussed at this meeting, 21
- to give the architect a general plan to follow in 22
- preparation of his plans. 23
- Q. Is it safe to assume at this meeting, on August 25th, 24

Page 57

- misstated? 1
- 2 A. No.
- (EXHIBIT 10 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) 3
- Q. Mr. Owen, I've presented to you what's been marked as 4
- Exhibit Number 10. It's a document on Noah Greenberg 5
- Associates Architects letterhead dated August 26th,
- 6 1998 and I ask you if you're familiar with that 7
- 8 document?
- A. (Witness perusing document) Yes. 9
- O. How are you familiar with it? 10
- A. This was the preliminary cost estimate for the 11
- project at that time. 12
- O. It's dated 1998. Is it your recollection, that this 13
- was prepared in 1998? 14
- A. I assume so. 15
- O. Is there any reason why there would have been a 16
- four-year delay between -- almost exactly a four-year 17
- delay between this meeting on August 25th, 1994, when 18
- you discussed the preliminary plans, and this cost 19
- estimate of August 26th, 1998? 20
- A. I don't really recall, but I believe at first we did 21
- not have a project cost estimate at the conclusion of 22
- the preliminary plans. 23
- Q. What's your understanding of when they were 24

$-\mathbf{r}$		-	-	5	ю
- 14	31	12	ĸ.		-25

- 1 concluded, the preliminary plans?
- A. I can't recall.
- 3 Q. And at some point did you request a cost estimate?
- 4 A. Pardon me?
- 5 Q. Did you request a cost estimate at some point?
- 6 A. That was part of the project.
- 7 Q. In 1998, did you request a cost estimate, or did you
- 8 just wait four years for it to show up?
- 9 MR. BARKER: Objection.
- A. I don't know the four-year time -- I can't explain
 the four-year time frame other than I don't think we
 had this at the conclusion of Noah Greenberg's work.
- 13 Q. You didn't have this particular document, or you didn't have any cost estimate?
- 15 A. We may have had it. I can't recall if we had a cost
- estimate at that time.
 Q. Do you recall any discussion in August of 1998 with
- 18 Mr. Greenberg regarding preparation of a current cost estimate?
- 20 A. I can't recall.
- 21 Q. You don't have any recollection at all?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Do you recall receiving this document?
- 24 A. Yes. I must have received it because it's in my

2

6

9

16

- Q. And that was the figure that was presented to the
 - Selectmen with the plans that he had prepared, the
- 3 2.2 million?
- A. Correct.
 Q. Which is different than this document, Exhibit
 - Number 10?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 O. One of the reasons I ask is there's a new
 - construction cost of roughly 2.2 million, and I was
- 10 curious if that was the same 2.2 million you were 11 referring to?
- A. It could have been, and then we added these site improvements, contingencies, architect's fees.
- 14 Q. But your recollection is, when you went to the
- 15 Selectmen, the figure was 2.2 million?
 - MR. BARKER: Objection.
- 17 A. It was 2.2 million, that I recall.
- 18 Q. And it didn't include these extra items?
- 19 A. Apparently not.
- 20 Q. Do you know who identified these extra items? I'm
- 21 going to refer to everything under new construction
- 22 as an extra item.
- 23 A. Well, I probably identified some, because I don't
- 24 believe they were included in the initial estimate.

Page 59

- 1 files.
- 2 Q. Did you respond to Mr. Greenberg at all after you
- 3 received this?
- 4 A. I can't recall.
- 5 Q. So, you don't recall discussing it with Mr. Greenberg
- 6 at all, either?
- 7 A. I'm sure we had a discussion somewhere along the
- 8 line. I don't know if it was prior to his writing
- 9 this memorandum to me, or after.
- Q. Do you recall the substance of the discussion at
- 11 all?
- 12 A. I can't recall.
- 13 Q. Does the figure look familiar to you, the final figure at the bottom, 2 million?
- 15 A. 2.8 million. Yes, it looks familiar.
- 16 Q. Earlier you mentioned you went to the Selectmen and
- 17 you had a figure of 2.2 million?
- 18 A. I think that was before some of the other items were
- 19 added in. That was based on a very preliminary.
- 20 Q. So, that would have been a different cost estimate?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Do you know who would have prepared that cost
- 23 estimate?
- 24 A. I can't recall, but I'm sure it was Mr. Greenberg.

Page 61

3

8

15

17

- Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Greenberg about
- 2 the larger renovation project in 1998?
 - MR. BARKER: Objection.
- 4 A. No. The project pretty much stayed the same, the
- 5 scope.
- 6 Q. And what do you mean by that?
- A. Well, offices and garage.
 - (EXHIBIT 11 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
- 9 Q. Mr. Owen, I'm showing you what's been marked as
- 10 Exhibit Number 11, it's a document on the letterhead
- 11 of Noah Greenberg Associates Architects and it's
- 12 dated August 26, 1998. I ask you if you're familiar
- 13 with that document?
- 14 A. (Witness perusing document) Yes. It's a bill from
 - Greenberg.
- 16 Q. And there are initials, WBO are in the middle of the
 - page. Are those your initials?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And there's some handwriting, there's actually a
 - stamp and some handwriting in the area of the stamp.
- 21 Do you have an understanding of what that information
- 22 is?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And what is that information?

WILLIAM OWEN

Page 62

- A. It identifies the article the Town meeting approved
 the money for, the account number it's paid out of,
- 3 and the amount.
- 4 Q. And whose handwriting is that?
- 5 A. Pardon me?
- 6 Q. Whose handwriting is that?
- 7 A. That's mine.
- 8 Q. Do you recall in 1998 that you received from
- 9 Mr. Greenberg anything other than this bill and this
- 10 cost estimate that's been marked as Exhibit Number
- 11 10?
- 12 A. I'm not sure about '98. There were more funds or
- 13 more monies due other than the 4,000. There might
- 14 have been a bill after this or a bill before it. I
- 15 can't recall.
- 16 Q. And when you say --
- 17 A. This may be the final bill.
- 18 Q. The document has an area identified as "Phase," and
- 19 that says, "Completion of preliminary design phase,
- 20 preparation of preliminary cost estimates." Was it
- 21 your understanding that both of these items had been
- 22 satisfactorily completed at that time?
- 23 A. Apparently, yes.
- 24 Q. Did you think that this bill was part of the \$7,800

Page 64

4

7

11

- 1 Q. Am I mistaken about that?
- 2 A. I identified the additional items and I also wanted
- 3 Mr. Greenberg to include a contingency figure.
 - Architect fees, percentages were left to him.
- 5 Q. Why were the percentages left to him?
- 6 A. Because he's the architect.
 - (EXHIBIT 12 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
- 8 O. Mr. Owen, marked as Exhibit 12 is a six-page
- 9 document that is on Department of Public Works
- 10 stationery and has the heading, "Town of Falmouth,
 - Department of Public Works, Request for
- 12 Qualifications of Architectural Design Services." I
- 13 ask you to take your time and look at that, review
- 14 that document.
- 15 A. (Witness perusing document) Yes, I'm familiar with 16 it.
- 17 Q. And how are you familiar with it?
- 18 A. I basically prepared this.
- 19 Q. When you say "basically," what do you mean by that?
- 20 A. Well, I had -- I consult with my Town Engineer and
- 21 with our Town Planner when we are going out for large
- 22 projects. I also reviewed some other proposals other
- 23 communities put out for the same type of project.
- 24 Q. You were responsible for the actual drafting of the

Page 63

- 1 that was identified on one of the earlier exhibits as
- 2 the fee for the services on this project?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. That would be Exhibit Number 5. Exhibit Number 10,
- 5 the cost estimate, do you recall discussing that with 6 anybody at the Town?
- 7 A. I'm sure it was discussed somewhere along the line,
- 8 but I can't recall when. It was probably discussed
- 9 with the Selectmen at a later date, or the Town
- 10 Administrator.
- 11 Q. You don't recall any of those discussions, however?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. And Exhibit 10, the final item says, "Architect and
- 14 engineering fees at 10 percent"?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is that an item that you added?
- 17 A. No, I didn't add that.
- 18 Q. Well, you indicated that this estimate includes
- 19 the original construction and then some additional
- 20 items?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. I thought your testimony was that you indicated that
- 23 you identified the additional items?
- 24 MR. BARKER: Objection.

Page 65

- 1 document?
- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. When did you obtain these requests for other projects
- 4 that you just mentioned? And if I didn't --
- 5 A. Where did I obtain them?
- 6 Q. Yes. I think you said you reviewed some similar
- 7 documents from other Town projects. And if I'm
 - wrong, please correct me.
- 9 A. Well, I did -- there were other towns for similar
- 10 projects this size and you get this information by
- 11 networking and meetings and so forth. So, you know,
- 12 I asked what they had put in their proposals.
- 13 Q. How did you make those requests?
- 14 A. Most of the time by telephone.
- 15 Q. And what was the purpose of this document?
- 16 A. The purpose was to -- as it states, to get a Request
- for Qualifications for Design Services for our final
- 18 design.
- 19 Q. I'm going to ask you to look at the third page,
- 20 which is actually Number 2 on the bottom, and I'm
- 21 going to ask you about the paragraph beginning with,
- 22 "The present facility is a concrete block structure,"
- 23 do you see that?
- 24 A. Okay. Under background?